EXHIBIT D

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION



BRANDON T ADAMS, LINDA C ADAMS, HENRY W ALDRIDGE, JUSTIN L ALDRIDGE, RUBY BURNS ALDRIDGE, DONNA J ALLEN, MICHELLE MEJIAS ARGUELLO, ALVITA C ARRINGTON, DONNELL BARRETT, SANDRA P BASS, ASHLEY BATTS, BILL BATTS, BRODERICK BATTS, CLARENCE EDWARD BATTS, JACKLYN BATTS, JASMINE BATTS, LASHANDA BATTS, LATOMIA BATTS, NICOLE BATTS, PORSHA BATTS, RANDI BATTS, SANDRA BATTS, ROBERT A BAXTER, ROBERT D BELL SR, DON BOYCE, CALVIN M BRADLEY, DEODRICK D BRADLEY, FLOYD W. BRADLEY, SEDRICK M BRADLEY, RUTH E BRANCH, LEON D BRINSTON, COLACRTHIA BROOK, ... BARBARA BROOKS, COLAIRTHIA BROOKS, CURTIS BROOKS, DAMION L BROOKS, JAMES CHARLES BROOKS SR, RICHARD L BROOKS, WILEY BROOKS, LOIS M BROOKS-NIX, ANTHONY BROWN, KAYLOR L BROWN,

VENESSA R BRYANT, BRITTANY BURNETT, GALE MOORE BURNETT, JAMIE BURNETT, CLIFTON BURNS III, CLIFTON J BURNS JR, CLIFTON J BURNS SR, CLIFTON BURNS JR, DARLINE BURNS, DETRENIA BURNS, DONNYELLE BURNS, DOUGLAS RAY BURNS, EDDIE MAE BURNS, GLORIA BURNS, HELEN MARIE BURNS, HENRY BURNS, JAMES C BURNS, JAMES ROY BURNS, JESSIE L BURNS, KEVIN D BURNS, LALONDA BURNS, LINDA K BURNS, MICKLE BURNS, MINNIE BURNS, PRECIOUS BURNS, TERRY LYNN BURNS, TERRY LYNN BURNS, TOMMIE LEE BURNS, TOMMIE LEE BURNS JR, MATTIE J CAREY, WILLIAM CAREY, WILLIAM LOUIS CAREY, BARBIE D'CARTER, BOBBIE CARTER, LAURA A CLARK, TRACY COLE, CASSANDRA COLEMAN, DEBRA COLEMAN, DERRICK COLEMAN, DEVIN COLEMAN, DONNIE H COLEMAN, ROBIN L COLEMAN JR, NELLIE MAE COLVIN, JOHN E COOPER, JONNISHA COOPER, LAKENDRICK COOPER,

MELVIN COPELAND, SANTOYA COPELAND, LOUISE CROMO, INA CROSS, . ANQUINISHA CUMMINGS, BWANA CUMMINGS, DATAYA CUMMINGS, JUSTONIA CUMMINGS, MELVIN CUMMINGS, ZALWANDA CUMMINGS, PAULINE ADAMS DARCUS, J L DARDEN, ANNIE L DAVIS, ECLISHA DAVIS, INEZ DAVIS, JAMARIOUS DAVIS, KATIE M DAVIS, LEONARD E DAVIS, LEONARD E DAVIS, MABLE DAVIS, MABLE DAVIS,
NORRIS DAVIS,
OLA DAVIS, ROBERT L DAVIS, SHALA DAVIS, SHANETRA DAVIS, SHANETRA MICHELLE DAVIS, TERRY DAVIS, VALENCIA HAGAN DAVIS, VERSIE DAVIS, PETRA ISABEL DELEON, BRODNEY DEVAULT, CLARICE DEVAULT, CLARICE DEVAULT, DRAIDERIUS DEVAULT, DERRICK DIGGS, ROOSEVELT DILLARD, ELLA DORSEY, ROYCE DORSEY, RICHARD DURAN, LEE EDWARD ELDRIDGE, DANITA MICHELLE ELLIS, DARROLYNN R ELLIS, DARRYL ELLIS, DARRYL G ELLIS, DAVID ELLIS,

DEMETRIUS L ELLIS, DIANDREA L ELLIS, DWAYNE M.A. ELLIS, ESTER ELLIS, LORETTA D ELLIS, ERNESTO ESPINOZA, DUWONN EVANS, KATHERINE FELTUS, ROBERT P FELTUS, ROBINISHA FELTUS, WILLIAM O FELTUS, ALLEN FISHER, BRANDON E FISHER, BRITTANY LEANN FISHER, JAMES ROY FISHER, JOEL FISHER, KILROY FISHER, LATANYA FISHER, LAURA FISHER, LEE R FISHER, PRINCESS E FISHER, EVERLEAN FORD, KEVIN DEWAYNE FORD, LYVONNE S FRANK, CAROLYN FLEMING FRANKLIN, EUGENE R FRANKLIN, HELEN FREEMAN, IRENE F GALLOWAY, STEPHANIE GARFIELD, CATHEY E GARNER, REKEIDA A GARNER, BRYANT D GENTRY, DAISY L GENTRY, DAVID GENTRY, FARRIS GENTRY, FLORENCE GENTRY, FLORENCE GENTRY, HOWARD GENTRY JR, LILLIE M GENTRY, SHIRLEY L GENTRY, JASTAZZIA GOFFINET, MARY ANN GONZALES, PATRICIA ANN GONZALES, SHELLY GONZALES, RICHARD GONZALEZ, SABRINA GORDON,

CLARENCE GRAYS, RODESSA GRAYS, DORIS JEAN GREEN, JO ANN GREENE, BERNICE GUYTON, ALICIA HAGAN, BILLY HAGAN, DEONTE HAGAN, DEONTE HAGAN, KENYIDA HAGAN, KENYIDA HAGAN, SHARON RAY HAGAN, LASHARDE HALL, RHODA LEE HALL; EDDIE M HAMILTON, MAXINE B HAMILTON, MEDERRLE D HAMILTON, SHANTEL R HAMILTON, ALBERTA W HANKS, ERNEST HANKS, CHANDRA J HARRIS, ELIZABETH HARRIS, ELIZABETH RUTH HARRIS, EUGENIA Y HARRIS, EUGENIE Y HARRIS, JAMES E HARRIS, JAMES E HARRIS JR, JAMIALYN HARRIS, JOSEPH HARRIS JR, KORDERO J HARRIS, KRYSTAL HARRIS, LOIS M HARRIS, NATHAN E HARRIS, PATRICIA HARRIS, SHANNON MY'LISA HARRIS, TRAVIS HARRIS JR, CALVIN LEE HARRISON JR, CALVIN LEE HARRISON SR, RICHYE LEE HARRISON, GOREE HAWKINS, RICHARD HAWKINS, RODNEY HAWKINS, STEVEN HAWKINS, BRENT HEATH, MARGIE HEATH, SHARON HEATH,

ALEXANDRIA HEMPHILL, ANISHA HEMPHILL, BRANDON S HEMPHILL, CRYSTAL S HEMPHILL, DEBORAH HEMPHILL, DEBORAH HEMPHILL, JALEN HEMPHILL, JOSHUA HEMPHILL, JUSTIN J HEMPHILL, KELTON HEMPHILL, RICA HEMPHILL, VICTORIA HEMPHILL, XAVION HEMPHILL, JAMES HENDERSON, BARBARA J HESTER, DOCK HESTER, JOHNNIE MAE HESTER, RONALD G HESTER, SYLVIA HICKMAN, CHARLIE MAE HICKS, EVELYN E HILL, CANTREAS LASHA HOGUES, DOMINIQUE Y HOGUES, JESSE L VASQUEZ HOGUES JR, JESSE LOYD HOGUES, JESSIE LOYD HOGUES SR, KARUSHA JAFRIKA YNESHA HOGUES,§ MARION ROBERSON HOGUES, DORA J HOLLIDAY, LILLY M HOLMES, OLLIE HOLMES JR, DEBBIE HORNSBY, VANIA HORNSBY, GARLON HUDSON, MARLON HUDSON, MAKLUN HUDSUN, RONALD HUDSON, BARBARA HAWKINS HUTSON, ANDRE ISOM, DONALD W ISOM, JOHN L ISOM, RONALD ISOM, AUSTINA JACKS, ANDREA JACKSON, AUSTIN JACKSON, AUSTINA JACKSON, BEVERLY ANN JACKSON,

BRODRICK JACKSON, CHARLES JACKSON, CHESTER JACKSON, CHESTER JACKSON JR, CHRISTOPHER EARL JACKSON, DEANDREA JACKSON, DEONTE RAYSHAUN JACKSON, DIAMONTE DESHAUN JACKSON, DONNA DAVIS JACKSON, DOUGLAS D JACKSON, DOUGLASIA DERAY JACKSON, FREDRICK ONEAL JACKSON, JUNASIA DYNETTE JACKSON, KEISHA ANN JACKSON, KISHA JACKSON, MARILYN JACKSON, MESHELL ANNETTE JACKSON, MINNIE J JACKSON, MINNIE R JACKSON, MOSEVELT JACKSON SR, PATRICK ONEAL JACKSON, PEARL JACKSON, RAY GENE JACKSON, RICKEY GENE JACKSON, ROBERT JACKSON, RODRICK ONEAL JACKSON, T JACKSON, THEORDORE JACKSON, THOMAS JACKSON, WILLIAM JACKSON, COREY C JEFFERY, DONNELL DEVON JENKINS, CHEYENNE'S JOHNSON, CORISA JOHNSON, DONNA RUTH JOHNSON, ELLA MAE JOHNSON, HIRAM LEE JOHNSON, J C JOHNSON, JABARI JOHNSON, JAHLA JOHNSON, JAMAR JOHNSON, JENNIFER MARIE JOHNSON, JERMY JOHNSON, JESSICA JOHNSON, JESSIE LEE JOHNSON JR, JOHNELL JOHNSON,

ROMEO W MOORE, SHARLA MOORE, SHEILA MOORE, STEPHANIE E MOORE, WILLIE MAE MOORE, WILLIE MAE MOORE, JASMINE MOSES, JASMINE MOSES, MARY MOSES, MONICA MOSES, LISA ANN NICKERSON, MARCUS NICKERSON, MELONIE NICKERSON, BRENDA NICKS, BRENDA NICKS, BRENDA NICKS, BRIAN RACHAD NICKS, CANDACE NICKS, KENNETH P NIX, OLLIE H NIX, WALTER E NIX SR, LURISE PAGE, COURTNEY PARKER, MICHAEL PARKER, WINIFORD PARKER, BOBBY RAY PENNIE, GEORGE ALBERT PENNIE, MARGARET PENNIE, ENRIQUE PEREZ, JOSEPH PEREZ, OLIVER PHILLIPS, PAUL PHILLIPS,
PAULA S PHILLIPS,
PRENTISS GEORGE PHILLIPS,
BEVERLY PLEASANT,

\$
\$
\$ PATRICK PLEASANT JR, PATRICK PLEASANT SR, HARLAN C PLUMBER, HARLEY PLUMBER, HERBCHELLE PLUMBER, HERBERT C PLUMBER JR, HERBERT PLUMBER JR, HILLARY PLUMBER, VICKIE PLUMBER, CHARLES POLITE,

LABRAYLA POOL, KENNETH W PORTER, JA'QUENTIN POWELL, MELVIA H POWELL, ORA LEE PRICE, ORA LEE PRICE, TARRANCE PRICE, FRANK QUINTERO, LUTHER ONEAL RAGSTON SR, SHERRY RAGSTON, BESSIE M RATLIFF, HEATHER RATLIFF, JOSHUA RATLIFF, MICHELLE RATLIFF, TONY RATLIFF, TRACY RATLIFF, WRIGHT C RATLIFF, WRIGHT RATLIFF JR, ANTHONY RAY, DONNA RAY, LUELLA RAY, MORRIS RAY, NIKITA RAY, DOCK U REESE JR, EVERLENE RELIFORD, LACRESHIA RELIFORD, ROESHON RELIFORD, STANLEY B RELIFORD, ZEPHYR RELIFORD, DEBRA J RHODES, EUREKA S RHODES, A D ROBERSON, ALFRED D ROBERSON, ALMA ROBERSON, MILDRED ROBERSON, RAY ROBERSON. ARLINDA ROBERTS, GERALD WAYNE ROBERTS, KEVIN ROBERTS, KIASHA ROBERTS, OTIS ROBERTS JR, QUNEISHA ROBERTS, TANETTE L ROBERTS, OTIS ROBERTSS JR, EDWARD M ROGERS, NATHAN ROGERS,

RHONDA ROGERS, JABORIE RUCKS, NICOLE SHUNTAE RUSSELL, MARY B SALDIVAR, JOSHUA SAMS, DAISY MAE SCARLETT, MICHAEL KESHAWN SCARLETT, QURISHA SCARLETT, DEBORAH SCHICLE, RARTERRIUS SCHICLE, ZOCCORRO SCHICLE, RAJANAE SCYRES, JAMES SIMPSON,
JAMES DAVIS SIMPSON,
JOSEPHINE SINGLETON, JAMES SIMPSON, JAMES M SMITH, MICHEAL SMITH, MIKEL SOWDERS, AUDRESHA SPATES, CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL SPATES, BESSIE M SPENCER, ESTELLA J SPENCER, GEORGIA SPENCER, HERBERT SPENCER, HERBERT SPENCER, ROSALYN V SPENCER, BARBARETT STEVENSON, SHANETRA STEVENSON, MICHELLE STIFFLEMIRE, PATRICIA ANN STIFFLEMIRE, DORIS J STRAIT, DERRICK K STRINGFELLOW, BRALA D SWAIN, ALTON A SWEENEY JR, ALTON SWEENEY JR, WALLACE TAYLOR, MICHAEL TEALER, COURTNEY D TELSEDE, RHANLLER THOMAS, VESTER THOMAS, CLAUDIS THURMAN SR, DOROTHY JEAN THURMAN, DOROTHY THURMON, DOROTHY THURMON, DOROTHY JEAN THURMON, CARNELL TOWNSEND, CHARLES TOWNSEND,

GLORIA TOWNSEND, S USIE TOWNSEND, JAMES TOWSEND, J D TURNER, JAMES E TURNER, JATERIA TURNER, ONIE MAE TURNER, ONIE MAE TURNER, JOHNNIE P UNDERWOOD, RITA Y VASQUEZ, SYLVIA VEGA, DALIA VELA, JOHN M VELA, MIKE C VELA, PAULA K WALKER, CYNTHIA WASHINGTON, DOROTHY WASHINGTON, WILLIAM H WASHINGTON, JAMES WASHISNGTON, ANTHONY V WATSON, LEONARD WATSON, MARY E WATSON, VIVIAN WATSON, BILLY GENE WILEY, DONALD R WILEY, HENRY WILEY, WILLIE WILEY, CLIDY MAE WILLIAMS, DAVID WILLIAMS, EDWARD J WILLIAMS, ETTA J. WILLIAMS, FAFFY RENAE WILLIAMS, FREDDIE I WILLIAMS, JOHNNIE B WILLIAMS, MARY WOODFORK WILLIAMS, RUBY J WILLIAMS, TERRANCE WILLIAMS, ANTHONY G WILSON, ANTHONY G WILSON, BARBARA A WILSON, CA'RON WILSON, ERIC WILSON, FLORENCE GENTRY WILSON, LAKIETRA R WILSON, MINNIE WILSON, TYSON WILSON,

DELOISE M WISE,
MARTIN WISE SR,
LELISHA WISEMAN,
STACIE WOODBERRY,
CLARA WOODFORD,
JONAS WOODFORK,
JAYCEE YOUNG,
TERRANCE YOUNG,
PLAINTIFFS,

CIVIL ACTION NO: A 05 CA 464 SS

V

KOPPERS INDUSTRIES INC.,
A FOREIGN CORPORATION
THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN
SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY,
A FOREIGN CORPORATION;
MONSANTO COMPANY, A FOREIGN
CORPORATION; THE DOW
CHEMICAL COMPANY, A FOREIGN
CORPORATION, AND
VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY,
A FOREIGN CORPORATION,
DEFENDANTS

PLAINTIFFS DEMAND TRIAL BY JURY

PLAINTIFES' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE COURT:

COME NOW Plaintiffs, complaining of Defendants Koppers Industries, Inc., The Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company, Monsanto Company, The Dow Chemical Company and Vulcan Materials Company and file this their second amended complaint.

I. PARTIES

1. Plaintiffs, whose names are listed on Exhibit "A" hereto and fully incorporated herein, have standing and capacity to file suit. Plaintiffs are African-American individuals and minors who formerly or presently live within close proximity of the site in

question and/or who were former employees of Defendants who operated the site.

- Defendant, Koppers Industries, Inc. (hereinafter "Koppers") is a Pennsylvania corporation. Koppers has answered herein.
- Defendant Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Corporation (hereinafter "BNSF") is a Delaware corporation. BNSF has answered herein.
- Defendant Monsanto Company (hereinafter "Monsanto") is a Delaware corporation. Monsanto has answered herein.
- Defendant The Dow Chemical Company (hereinafter "Dow") is a Delaware corporation. Dow has answered herein.
- Defendant Vulcan Materials Company (hereinafter "Vulcan") is a New Jersey corporation. Vulcan has answered herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the suit involves a federal question. Specifically, this court has exclusive jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1982, 1983, 1985(3), 2000d and 3604.
- 8. This court has venue because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Somerville, Texas.

DISCOVERY RULE

Plaintiffs herewith plead the discovery rule, specifically that in response to, and as an affirmative defense to any such pleas of statue of limitations as may be made, Plaintiffs allege and assert that they neither discovered, nor should have discovered the claims or facts underlying the claims outside of the limitations periods. The discovery rule, as defined by *Woods vs. Mercer*, 769 S.W.2d 515 (Tex. 1988), specifically means that the Plaintiffs did not discover nor could have reasonably discovered they had a potential cause of action for their personal injury and other damages until such time as they obtained information or facts to put them on notice of same, and accordingly now plead the discovery rule as an affirmative defense to any statutes of limitations assertions plead now or in the future by Defendants.

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Defendant BNSF operated and Defendant Koppers operates a wood treatment facility in and near Somerville, Texas (hereinafter "the Facility"). The Facility occupies a 200-acre tract of land approximately one mile northwest of the intersection of Highway 36 and FM 1361on the northeastern edge of the city of Somerville in Burleson County, Texas. Koppers and BNSF and their predecessors are hereinafter referred to from time to time as "Wood Treatment Defendants."
- 11. Wood Treatment Defendants used toxic chemicals to produce treated railroad ties and other chemically treated specialty wood products for the railroad industry. Under pressure and heat, creosote and other pesticides would infuse the railroad ties and displace water in the wood, thus impregnating the railroad ties with the chemicals. Wood Treatment Defendants purchased and used a chemical known as pentachlorophenol, in addition to coal tar creosote, to treat the railroad ties.
- 12. Defendants Monsanto, Dow and Vulcan (hereinafter "Penta Defendants") manufactured and sold to Wood Treatment Defendants products containing pentachlorophenol, otherwise known as "penta".
 - 13. Plaintiffs have been exposed and continue to be exposed to the toxic

chemicals from the Facility used to treat these wood products. At some point in time unknown to Plaintiffs, these chemicals began to contaminate their bodies, real property and homes.

- The chemicals have contaminated Plaintiffs' bodies, homes and real estate, places of business and public schools in the area. Further, the chemicals have contaminated the air, ground, and water resources of Somerville, Texas. consequence, Plaintiffs suffer from diseases and personal injuries and have been placed at an unacceptably high risk for serious and life threatening diseases in the future.
- Plaintiffs, therefore, state the following causes of action against Defendants named above to recover compensation for personal injury, property damage, civil rights violations and environmental damage caused by the aforesaid toxic contamination. In connection therewith, plaintiffs also seek to recover the monetary costs of cleaning up the aforesaid contaminated property and environment.
- In addition to the recovery of monetary damages, Plaintiffs further seek 16. through this civil action equitable relief in the form of a court established and supervised fund to pay for medical monitoring of the plaintiffs and those similarly situated. Plaintiffs seek further equitable relief in the form of an injunction to enjoin the further contamination of Plaintiffs' homes, properties, persons and businesses and the Somerville environment by Defendants' manufacture, distribution and use of the toxic chemicals.
- Plaintiffs owning property in Somerville area owned this property during 17. the time period in which contamination to the properties commenced and/or have been assigned the rights to any cause of action that could have been asserted by any predecessors in title to their respective properties.

- Plaintiffs have experienced one or more of the following during the time periods relevant hereto:
- a. Intermittent flooding of their property with surface water running from the contaminated Kopper's railroad tie treatment facility.
- b. Intermittent flooding of their property from Thompson Creek and other natural drains with receive contaminated surface water flowing from the aforesaid railroad tie treatment facility.
- c. Treated wood provided to the plaintiffs by the wood treatment defendants was routinely used as firewood in the homes of the plaintiffs and in some cases used as cooking fuel.
- d. Treated wood ties from time to time would be placed on or near the property of one or more of the plaintiffs for storage prior to their being sold or removed by the wood treatment defendants for some other purpose.
- e. Subsurface water lying under the real estate of the plaintiffs has been and remains contaminated with the chemicals used by the wood treatment defendants as a consequence of the chemicals being spilled and otherwise placed on the soil of the treatment facility and otherwise placed in leaking containment ponds such that the ground water and the aquifers underlying the Somerville area is now contaminated.
- f. One or more of the plaintiffs has in the past experienced "oily tasting" water drawn from fresh water wells in the Somerville area.
- g. One or more of Plaintiffs' houses and real estate have become contaminated with dioxins/furans, PAHs and other toxic molecules released from the aforesaid wood treatment facility.

- h. The plaintiffs have and continue to experience noxious odors emanating from the aforesaid wood treatment facility.
- i. The plaintiffs have and continue to experience poisonous dusts released from the aforesaid wood treatment facility settle from the air onto their respective properties in the Somerville area.
- 19. BNSF has represented to the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission that it assumes responsibility for the historic contamination of the Somerville site.
- Independent testing has verified the presence of toxic compounds including dioxins/furans and PAHs inside the homes of Somerville residents. Likewise, independent testing has verified the presence of toxic compounds including. dioxins/furans and PAHs in the residential soil of the Somerville area.
- The nature and make-up of the aforesaid compounds establish that the Somerville site is the generator of these compounds.
- 22. Governmental mandated testing of soil, sediment, surface and sub-surface water on the Somerville site has verified the presence of the toxic and dangerous compounds.
- The Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (TNRCC) has 23. documented the contamination of the aquifer underlying the Somerville area.
 - 24. The TNRCC has documented soil contamination at the Somerville site.
- Surface water run-off from the Somerville site has carried contaminated sediment to the waters of Thompson Creek and other natural drainage features resulting in off-site contamination of the Somerville area.

- 26. As a consequence of the foregoing, but not limited to the foregoing, activities of the wood treatment defendants toxic substances including PAHs, dioxins/furans, arsenic and other dangerous and deadly toxins were at all relevant times generated and released from the Facility.
- During the relevant time period in question, Penta Defendants sold pentachlorophenol-containing products to Wood Treatment Defendants and they allowed the toxic fumes to escape and permeate neighboring homes and properties, further exposing the residents to these dangerous compounds.
- Furthermore, the pentachlorophenol-containing products, were routinely 28. stored outside at the Facility. Because pentachlorophenol-containing products were stored without cover or protection, the chemicals were allowed to disperse throughout the neighborhood, further contaminating Plaintiffs' homes, properties, and persons.
- During the relevant time period in question, the Wood Treatment Defendants also improperly disposed of unwanted chemicals and contaminated dirt by dumping the refuse outside at the Facility. These acts further contaminated Plaintiffs' homes, properties and persons.
- During the relevant time in question, the Penta Defendants' representatives and/or agents visited the facilities on a regular basis to deliver, sell and/or promote their products to the Wood Treatment Defendants. The Penta Defendants' representatives and/or agents also visited the Facility to instruct the Wood Treatment Defendants' employees on how to mix and/or formulate chemicals. Clearly, the manner in which the Wood Treatment Defendants' operated the Facility was open and obvious to the Penta Defendants' representatives and/or agents, who, notwithstanding the obvious hazards posed to the Wood

sear the search

Treatment Defendants' employees and those living in the community, failed to warn or instruct the Wood Treatment Defendant of the hazards posed by their products.

31. These and other acts by the Defendants, as more fully discussed herein and incorporated by reference, caused the contamination of Plaintiffs' homes and properties that in many instances still exists today and which have also caused Plaintiffs to suffer debilitating health effects.

COUNT I: NEGLIGENCE BY THE WOOD TREATMENT DEFENDANTS

- Treatment Defendants, collectively or individually operated a wood treatment facility and/or owned the premises upon which the wood treatment facility operated in the city limits of Somerville, Texas. Plaintiffs allege that during, and subsequent to, the operation of such plant, the Wood Treatment Defendants collectively and/or individually, accepted into, placed into, and/or caused to be placed into the Facility, toxic and hazardous substances and chemicals and subsequently allowed and failed to prevent the toxic and hazardous wastes, substances and chemicals to escape from the Facility into the ground, water and air under, above and about the Facility.
- hazardous substances and chemicals into the aforesaid plant by the Wood Treatment Defendants collectively or individually, and the subsequent exposures of such toxic and hazardous substances and chemicals to Plaintiffs and subsequent failures to prevent such toxic and hazardous substances and chemicals to escape from the Facility into the ground, water and air under, above and about the Facility are the proximate and/or producing

causes(s) of the damages accruing to Plaintiffs, said damages being likewise more fully set out herein and amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT I: NEGLIGENCE BY THE PENTA DEFENDANTS

- Pleading additionally and alternatively, and without waiving any of the foregoing, Plaintiffs allege and would show that from a date not exactly known to Plaintiffs at this time until the present, the Penta Defendants collectively and/or individually, sold to, provided to, consigned to, transported to, and /or otherwise conveyed to the Wood Treatment Defendants (or caused to be sold to, provided to, consigned to, transported to and/or otherwise conveyed to the Wood Treatment Defendants), toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents, substances, and/or materials which were conceptualized, designed, formulated, generated, manufactured and/or otherwise produced and placed into the stream of commerce by the Penta Defendants, collectively and/or individually. The said toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents, substances, and/or materials include pentachlorophenol-containing products.
 - Said chemicals were accepted and introduced by collectively and/or 35. individually by the Penta Defendants into the Facility in Somerville, Texas, said Facility being operated and/or owned, or in the care, custody and/or control of the Wood Treatment Defendants, collectively and/or individually. Plaintiffs allege that the sale of, provision of, consignment of, transportation of and/or conveyance otherwise of toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents, substances, and/or materials by the Penta Defendants, collectively and/or individually to the Wood Treatment Defendants, collectively and/or individually, and the subsequent exposures to such toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents, substances, and/or materials are the proximate and/or producing cause(s) of the

damages accruing to Plaintiffs, said damages being likewise more fully set out herein and amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

Case 1:07-cv-06883

36. The conduct of the Penta Defendants, both jointly and severally, constituted negligence, such negligence being the proximate cause or producing cause of the damages suffered by Plaintiffs amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT II: NEGLIGENCE IN FAILURE TO MONITOR AND DISCOVER BY THE WOOD TREATMENT DEFENDANTS

Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that the Wood Treatment Defendants, collectively and/or individually, accepted toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents, substances, and/or materials at the Facility. The Wood Treatment Defendants, collectively and/or individually failed to monitor their procedures and receipts in such a way as to discover that such materials were in fact toxic and The Wood Treatment Defendants, hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances. collectively and/or individually, failed to prevent the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances from escaping from the Facility into the ground, water and air under, above and about the Facility. Plaintiffs allege that the failure(s) to monitor and discover, the acceptance(s) and introduction(s) of such toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances into the Facility and the subsequent uncontrolled releases into the ground, water and air at the hands of the Wood Treatment Defendants, collectively and/or individually as set out more fully and specifically herein, are the proximate and/or producing cause(s) of the damages accruing to Plaintiffs, said damages being likewise more fully set out herein and amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT II: NEGLIGENCE IN FAILURE TO MONITOR AND DISCOVER BY THE PENTA DEFENDANTS

Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous 38. pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that from a date not exactly known to Plaintiffs at this time, Penta Defendants, collectively and/or individually, sold to, provided to, consigned to, transported to, and/or otherwise conveyed to the Wood Treatment Defendants, (or caused to be sold to, provided to, consigned too, transported to and/or otherwise conveyed to Penta Defendants), toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances which were conceptualized, formulated, generated, manufactured and/or otherwise produced by Penta Defendants, collectively and/or individually. Said toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances were accepted and introduced by the Wood Treatment Defendants collectively and/or individually, into the Facility, said Facility being operated and/or owned, or in the care, custody and/or control of the Wood Treatment Defendants collectively and/or individually. Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, failed to conduct their business and monitor their procedures in such a way as to discover that such chemicals, solvents and substances were in fact toxic and hazardous. Plaintiffs allege that the sale of, provision of, consignment of, transportation of and/or conveyance otherwise of the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances by Penta Defendants either collectively or individually, are the proximate and/or producing cause(s) of the damages accruing to Plaintiffs, said damages being likewise more fully set out herein and amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT III: NEGLIGENCE IN FAILURE TO WARN DE THE WOOD TREATMENT DEFENDANTS

- pleading and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that acts and omissions of the Wood Treatment Defendants either collectively or individually constituting negligence—failure to warn include, but are not necessarily limited to the allegations that:
- * the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, knew, or in the exercise of due caution as prudent operators of a formulating, storage and disposal plant, should have known of and should have discovered the danger to human life presented by the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances which they/it accepted and placed into the Facility;
- * the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, failed to determine the hazards, nature, composition and toxicity of such chemicals, solvents and substances;
- * the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, exposed the Plaintiffs and others of the general public to the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances through uncontrolled releases into the ground, water and air on, above and about the Facility;
- * the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually failed to warn the Plaintiffs and other persons of the general public of the hazards posed to them by the release of the chemicals, solvents and substances into the ground, water and air.
- 40. The negligence of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, in failing to warn the Plaintiffs of the hazards described above has resulted

in, and is and was the proximate and/or producing cause of the damages accruing to the Plaintiffs, said damages being more fully set out herein and amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

NEGLIGENCE IN FAILURE TO WARN BY THE PENTA DEFENDANT

- Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleading and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that acts and omissions of the Penta Defendants either collectively or individually constituting negligence—failure to warn include, but are not necessarily limited to the allegations that:
 - the Penta Defendants either collectively or individually, failed to give proper warnings or instructions regarding the dangerous and hazardous propensities and characteristics of the chemicals being sold;
 - the Penta Defendants either collectively or individually, failed to give proper warnings or instructions regarding the proper handling, mixing, storage, clean-up and/or disposal of the chemicals being sold;
 - the Penta Defendants either collectively or individually, failed to warn of the dangers posed to humans and the environment to long-term exposure to their products;
 - the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, withheld information known to them about the hazardous propensities and characteristics of the products being sold;
 - the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, failed to provide proper, complete or timely information to distribute and/or failed to take adequate steps to

- insure that product information was communicated; and
- * the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, failed to adequately instruct or warn of the proper disposal methods for their products.
- 42. The negligence of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, in failing to warn the Plaintiffs of the hazards described above has resulted in, and is and was the proximate and/or producing cause of the damages accruing to the Plaintiffs, said damages being more fully set out herein and amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT IV: NEGLIGENCE IN FAILURE TO INTERVENE IN TIMELY MANNER TO PREVENT INJURY BY THE WOOD TREATMENT DEFENDANTS

Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that acts and omissions of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually constituting negligence – failure to intervene include, but are not necessarily limited to the allegations that the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually failed to intervene in a timely and prudent manner consistent with procedures recognized by the industry to prevent and/or contain and/or monitor and/or control the releases of toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances into the soil, water and air in order to minimize or eliminate the exposure of the Plaintiffs and other persons to the material. The negligence of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually in failing to intervene in a timely manner as described above has resulted in, and is and was proximate and/or producing cause of the damages accruing to the Plaintiffs, said damages being more fully set out herein and amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of

this Court.

COUNT IV: NEGLIGENCE IN FAILURE TO INTERVENE IN TIMELY MANNER TO PREVENT INJURY BY THE PENTA DEFENDANTS

Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that acts and omissions of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, constituting negligence — failure to intervene include, but are not necessarily limited to the allegations that the Penta Defendants, failed to intervene in a timely and prudent manner consistent with procedures recognized by the industry to require the purchasers, consignees, operators or receivers otherwise of the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances, to prevent the release of such material into the soil, water and air on, above, under and about the Facility in order to minimize or eliminate the exposure of the Plaintiffs and other persons to the material. The negligence of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually in failing to intervene in a timely manner as described above has resulted in, and is and was proximate and/or producing cause of the damages accruing to the Plaintiffs, said damages being more fully set out herein and amounting to a sura within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT V: DESIGN DEFECT BY THE PENTA DEFENDANTS

45. Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that the toxic and hazardous chemicals, and/or substances that were conceptualized, formulated, generated, manufactured and/or otherwise produced by the Penta Defendants, collectively and/or

dangerous, taking into consideration the utility of the product and the risk involved in its use. Furthermore, the containers and/or packages in which these toxic and hazardous chemicals, and/or substances were shipped were defective in that the containers and/packages leaked, ripped, tore or otherwise allowed the chemicals to spill, leak, or otherwise discharge at the Facility. The defective design of the toxic and hazardous chemicals, and/or substances that were conceptualized, formulated, generated, manufactured and/or otherwise produced by the Penta Defendants, and their packaging either collectively and/or individually, has resulted in, and is and was proximate and/or producing cause of the damages accruing to the Plaintiffs, said damages being more fully set out herein and amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT VI: BREACH OF EXPRESS AND IMPLIED WARRANTIES BY THE PENTA DEFENDANTS

46. Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that the Penta Defendants expressly and impliedly warranted that the chemicals in question were properly and safely designed, tested, and manufactured, were of merchantable quality and fit for the particular use for which they were supplied. In fact, the chemicals in question were not as they were warranted to be, but were unsafe, dangerous and defective, and the the Penta Defendants' breach of warranty were the producing and/or the proximate cause of the damages accruing to the Plaintiffs, said damages being more fully set out herein and amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT VII: NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION BY THE WOOD TREATMENT DEFENDANTS

Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that the acts and omissions of the Wood Treatment Defendants either collectively or individually, as set out heretofore, constitute negligent misrepresentation, as that term is known and understood in Texas, and that such acts and omissions were and are producing and/or the proximate cause of the damages accruing to the Plaintiffs, said damages being more fully set out herein and amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT VIII: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS BY THE WOOD TREATMENT DEFENDANTS

- 48. Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that acts and omissions of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, which constitute intentional infliction of emotional distress include, but are not necessarily limited to those allegations that:
 - the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, had full knowledge of the nature and toxicity of the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances which the Wood Treatment Defendants, collectively or individually accepted and subsequently placed into their/its formulating, storage and/or disposal plant;
 - * the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, willfully and

with full knowledge of the grave, and sometimes fatal, consequences to the Plaintiffs and persons of the general public, allowed toxic and hazardous wastes, chemicals, solvent and substances to freely release into the soil, water and air on, under and about the Facility;

- the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, had full knowledge of the consequences of the introduction of such toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvent and substances into the Facility and with conscious indifference to those consequences, made a conscious decision to endanger the lives of the Plaintiffs;
- the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, knew full well of the consequences of its acts and omissions in allowing the toxic and hazardous chemicals, wastes, solvents and substances to release into the soil, water and air on, under, above and about the Facility and with conscious indifference to those consequences, made a conscious decision to endanger the lives and property of the Plaintiffs and other persons of the general public as well as to endanger the ground and waters in the vicinity of the Facility and those areas in proximity to the Facility in question.
- 49. The conduct on the part of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, given the knowledge alleged heretofore by Plaintiffs, constitutes a flagrant disregard for the rights of the Plaintiffs and others, with actually awareness on the part of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, that their actions would, in reasonable probability, result in great bodily harm. The Wood Treatment Defendants either collectively or individually, acted intentionally and recklessly, and such

conduct was extreme and outrageous. The actions of the Wood Treatment Defendants either collectively or individually, (as alleged by Plaintiffs now to constitute intentional infliction of emotional distress), caused and continue to cause (both proximately and as a producing cause) the severe emotional distress of Plaintiffs, amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT VIII: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS. BY THE PENTA DEFENDANTS

- Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that acts and omissions of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, constituting intentional infliction of emotional distress include, but are not necessarily limited to those allegations that:
- the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, had full knowledge of the nature and toxicity of the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances which the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, accepted and subsequently introduced into its/their plant;
- the Penta Defendants; either collectively or individually, willfully and with full knowledge of the consequences to those Plaintiffs exposed to the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances, wholly failed to even try to prevent the easily foreseeable exposures to such Plaintiffs to such toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances;
- the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, knew full well of the consequences of their acts and omissions as set out in this paragraph and with

conscious indifference to those consequences, made a conscious decision to endanger the lives of the Plaintiffs and other persons of the general public as well as to endanger the ground, water and air in the vicinity of the Facility and those areas in proximity to the Facility in question.

The conduct on the part of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or, individually, given the knowledge alleged heretofore by Plaintiffs, constitutes a flagrant disregard for the rights of the Plaintiffs and others, with actual awareness on the part of the the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, that their actions would, in reasonable probability, result in great bodily harm. The Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, acted intentionally and recklessly, and such conduct was extreme and outrageous. The actions of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, (as alleged by Plaintiffs now to constitute intentional infliction of emotional distress), caused and continue to cause (both proximately and as a producing cause) the severe emotional distress of Plaintiffs, amounting to a sum within the Jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT IX: BY THE WOOD TREATMENT DEFENDANTS

Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous 52. pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, knowingly accepted and placed into its Facility in question, toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances. In its/their processes, the Wood Treatment Defendants, generated, or caused to be generated, toxic and hazardous wastes. Such defendants have knowingly failed to properly dispose of such wastes, and, have knowingly improperly stored such wastes. Such toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents, substances and wastes have escaped the confines of the toxic plant. Such improper, excessive and unusual use of the Facility and associated property has proximately and/or directly caused injury and/or harm and/or inconvenience to Plaintiffs. Such invasion and interference of Plaintiffs' rights was substantial, material and unreasonable. Such acts and/or omissions of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, has resulted in, and continues to result in to this day, the interference in the Plaintiffs, use and enjoyment of their real property and personal rights and constitutes nuisance and/or nuisance per se. The interference of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, with the Plaintiffs' use of their real property was and is proximate and/or producing cause of damages accruing to Plaintiffs amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court. The damages and injuries described herein include, but are not limited to personal discomfort, annoyance, inconvenience, impairment of health, physical pain and mental anguish, loss of market value, or other value of property, real and personal, as well as loss of use and enjoyment of the property, both in the past and future.

COUNT X: TRESPASS BY THE WOOD TREATMENT DEFENDANTS

pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, knowingly released into the ground, water and air toxic and hazardous chemicals, wastes, solvents and substances and/or chemicals and/or materials containing or consisting of toxic and hazardous waste,

substance and/or chemicals. As alleged by Plaintiffs herein and restated herein by reference, Plaintiffs' property was detrimentally affected as the direct result of contaminants encroaching upon said property from uncontrolled surface, subsurface and airborne releases from the Facility into the soil, water and air adjacent to Plaintiffs' property. Such encroachment of the toxic and hazardous chemicals, wastes, solvents and substances onto and into the property of Plaintiffs, proximately and/or directly caused by acts and omissions of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, constitutes trespass as that term in understood in the State of Texas. As the direct and proximate result of trespass of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, Plaintiffs have sustained injuries and damages amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this-Court

MALICE/INTENTIONAL CONDUCT

- Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that acts and/or omissions of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, as plead now and as plead heretofore, constitute malice as that term is understood in Texas law, said allegations including, but not limited to the following that:
 - the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, had full knowledge of the nature and toxicity of the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances which the Wood Treatment Defendants, collectively or individually accepted and subsequently placed into their/its formulating plant;
 - the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, willfully and

with full knowledge of the grave, and sometimes fatal, consequences to the Plaintiffs and persons of the general public, allowed toxic and hazardous wastes, chemicals, solvents and substances to freely release into the soil, water and air on, under and about the Facility;

Case 1:07-cv-06883

- the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, had full knowledge of the consequences of the introduction of such toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances into the Facility and with conscious indifference to those consequences, made a conscious decision to endanger the lives of the Plaintiffs;
 - the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, knew full well of the consequences of its acts and omissions in allowing the toxic and hazardous chemicals, wastes, solvents and substances to release into the soil, water and air on, under, above and about the Facility and with conscious indifference to those consequences, made a conscious decision to endanger the lives and property of the Plaintiffs and other persons of the general public as well as to endanger the ground and waters in the vicinity of the Facility and those areas in proximity to the Facility in question.
 - The conduct of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, (as alleged by Plaintiffs now to constitute malice), was conduct specifically intended by Defendants, either collectively or individually to cause substantial injury to the Plaintiffs; and/or was an act that was carried out by the Wood Treatment Defendants either collectively or individually with a flagrant disregard for the rights of others and with actual awareness on the part of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or

individually, that the act would, in reasonable probability, result in human death, great bodily harm or property damage. Such acts constituting malice have caused in the past, and will in all reasonable probability continue to cause in the future (both proximately and as a producing cause), damages to Plaintiffs, amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

- 56. Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that acts and/or omissions of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, as plead now and as plead heretofore, constitute malice, said allegations including, but not limited to the following that:
- the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, had full knowledge of the nature and toxicity of the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvent and substances which the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, accepted and subsequently introduced into its/their Facility;
- the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, willfully and with full knowledge of the consequences to those Plaintiffs exposed to the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances in the worksite, wholly failed to even try to prevent the easily foreseeable exposures to such Plaintiffs to such toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances;
- the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, knew full well of the consequences of their acts and omissions as set out in this paragraph and with conscious indifference to those consequences, made a conscious decision to endanger the lives of the Plaintiffs and other persons of the general public as well as

to endanger the ground, water and air in the vicinity of the Facility and those areas in proximity to the Facility in question.

- The conduct of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, (as alleged by Plaintiffs now to constitute malice), was conduct specifically intended by the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually to cause substantial injury to the claimant; and/or was an act that was carried out by the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually with a flagrant disregard for the rights of others and with actual awareness on the part of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, that the act would, in reasonable probability, result in human death, great bodily harm or property damage. Such acts constituting malice have caused in the past, and will in all reasonable probability continue to cause in the future (both proximately and as a producing cause), damages to Plaintiffs, amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.
 - Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that acts and/or omissions of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, as plead now and as plead heretofore, were undertaken knowingly, willfully and with wanton and conscious disregard for the rights, safety and welfare of the Plaintiffs and others of the general public, exposing Plaintiffs and their property to the toxic and hazardous waste, substances and/or chemicals and/or materials containing, bearing or consisting of toxic and hazardous waste, substances and/or chemicals, and that such conduct on the part of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, constitutes gross Additionally, the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or negligence. individually, acting willfully, knowledgeably and with wanton and conscious disregard for

the rights, safety and welfare of the Plaintiffs and others of the general public, withheld information regarding the danger and consequences of human exposure to such toxic and hazardous material, and misrepresented same in order to prevent and/or limit its liability for the damages caused by the grossly negligent acts and omissions. Said grossly negligent acts and omissions include, but are not necessarily limited to the following:

- the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, had full knowledge of the nature and toxicity of the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances which the Wood Treatment Defendants, collectively or individually accepted and subsequently placed into their/its Facility;
- the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, willfully and with full knowledge of the grave, and sometimes fatal, consequences to the Plaintiffs and persons of the general public, allowed toxic and hazardous wastes, chemicals, solvents and substances to freely release into the soil, water and air on, under and about the Facility;
- the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, had full knowledge of the consequences of the introduction of such toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances into the Facility and with conscious indifference to those consequences, made a conscious decision to endanger the lives of the Plaintiffs;
- * the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, knew full well of the consequences of its acts and omissions in allowing the toxic and hazardous chemicals, wastes, solvents and substances to release into the soil, water and air on, under, above and about the Facility and with conscious indifference to those

consequences, made a conscious decision to endanger the lives and property of the Plaintiffs and other persons of the general public as well as to endanger the ground and waters in the vicinity of the Facility and those areas in proximity to the Facility.

- Plaintiffs further allege that the willful acts of the Wood Treatment 59. Defendants, either collectively or individually, constituting gross negligence as plead now and heretofore are the direct and/or proximate cause of the injuries and damages accruing to the Plaintiffs. For such grossly negligent acts of the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, Plaintiffs are entitled under Texas law and statute to recover exemplary damages from the Wood Treatment Defendants, amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court, as may be awarded by the Court or jury.
- 60. Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege that acts and/or omissions of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, as plead now and as plead heretofore, were undertaken knowingly, willfully and with wanton and conscious disregard for the rights, safety and welfare of the Plaintiffs and other of the general public, exposing Plaintiffs and their property to the toxic and hazardous waste, substances and/or chemicals and/or materials containing, bearing or consisting of toxic and hazardous waste, substances and/or chemicals, and that such conduct on the part of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, constitutes gross negligence. Additionally, the Penta Defendants, acting willfully, knowledgeably and with wanton and conscious disregard for the rights, safety and welfare of the Plaintiffs and others of the general public, withheld information regarding the danger and consequences of human exposure to such toxic and hazardous material, and misrepresented same in order to prevent and/or limit its liability for

the damages caused by the grossly negligent acts and omissions, which include, but are not necessarily limited to the following:

- the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, had full knowledge of the nature and toxicity of the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances which the Wood Treatment Defendants, either collectively or individually, accepted and subsequently introduced into its/their plant;
- the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, willfully and with full knowledge of the consequences to those Plaintiffs exposed to the toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances, wholly failed to even try to prevent the easily foreseeable exposures to such Plaintiffs to such toxic and hazardous chemicals, solvents and substances;
 - the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, knew full well of the consequences of their acts and omissions as set out in this paragraph and with conscious indifference to those consequences, made a conscious decision to endanger the lives of the Plaintiffs and other persons of the general public as well as to endanger the ground, water and air in the vicinity of the Facility and those areas in proximity to the Facility.
 - Plaintiffs further allege that the willful acts of the Penta Defendants, either 61. collectively or individually, constituting gross negligence as plead now and heretofore are the direct and/or proximate cause of the injuries and damages accruing to the Plaintiffs. For such grossly negligent acts of the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover exemplary damages from the Penta Defendants, either collectively or individually, as may be awarded by the Court or jury, amounting to a sum

within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

62. Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that all Defendants herein, are jointly and severally liable for the injuries and damages accruing to the Plaintiffs, proximately caused by the acts and omissions of said Defendants plead herein and heretofore, said injuries and damages amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

COUNT XI: VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1981 BY ALL DEFENDANTS

- Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that all Defendants herein have violated 42 U.S.C. § 1981 by their acts of intentional racial discrimination, and by its vestiges, which continue to date.
- Plaintiffs have been damaged and are being damaged by the racial segregation and discrimination and the failure of Defendants to eliminate the vestiges of such segregation and discrimination.
- Defendants' obligation to eliminate the vestiges of segregation and discrimination and their failure to comply with those obligations are ongoing.
- Plaintiffs were not reasonable aware of all damages resulting from the racial discrimination until less than one year prior to the filing of this action, and the claims therein did not accrue until less than one year before the filing of this action.
- Defendants fraudulently concealed and positively misrepresented many of the effects of their racial discrimination and thereby kept Plaintiffs from being reasonable aware of the damage from the discrimination.

Defendants' acts of discrimination and fraudulent concealment and misrepresentation of fraudulent in nature. Plaintiffs were not fully aware or may not yet be fully aware of all of the misrepresentations and all damages, so effective have been Defendants' misrepresentations and concealments.

COUNT XII: VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. & 1982 BY ALL DEFENDANTS

- Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that all Defendants herein have violated 42 U.S.C. § 1982 by their acts of intentional racial discrimination, and by its vestiges, which continue to date.
- Section 1982 provides "All citizens of the United States shall have the same right, in every State and Territory, as is enjoyed by white citizens thereof to inherit, purchase, lease, sell, hold and convey real and personal property." Defendants have violated Plaintiffs' rights under this provision. As a proximate result, Plaintiffs have been damaged.
 - Actions of Defendants were intentional. 71.
- Plaintiffs have been damaged and are being damaged by the racial 72. segregation and discrimination and the failure of Defendants to eliminate the vestiges of such segregation and discrimination.
- Defendants' obligation to eliminate the vestiges of segregation and discrimination and their failure to comply with those obligations are ongoing.
- Plaintiffs were not reasonable aware of all damages resulting from the racial discrimination until less than one year prior to the filing of this action, and the claims therein did not accrue until less than one year before the filing of this action.

- Defendants fraudulently concealed and positively misrepresented many of the effects of their racial discrimination and thereby kept Plaintiffs from being reasonable aware of the damage from the discrimination.
- Defendants' acts of discrimination and fraudulent concealment and misrepresentation of fraudulent in nature. Plaintiffs were not fully aware or may not yet be fully aware of all of the misrepresentations and all damages, so effective have been Defendants' misrepresentations and concealments.

COUNT XIII: VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983 BY ALL DEFENDANTS

- Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous 77. pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that all Defendants herein have violated 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by their acts of intentional racial discrimination, and by its vestiges, which continue to date.
- While action under color of state law, Defendants intentionally caused the 78. deprivation of rights secured to Plaintiffs under the Constitution and laws of the United States, intentionally violating Plaintiffs' rights under the due process and equal protection provisions of the United States Constitution and federal law to be free from race discrimination.
- Plaintiffs have been damaged and are being damaged by the racial segregation and discrimination and the failure of Defendants to eliminate the vestiges of such segregation and discrimination.
- Defendants obligation to eliminate the vestiges of segregation and :80 discrimination and their failure to comply with those obligations are ongoing.
 - Plaintiffs were not reasonable aware of all damages resulting from the racial 81.

discrimination until less than one year prior to the filing of this action, and the claims therein did not accrue until less than one year before the filing of this action.

- Defendants fraudulently concealed and positively misrepresented many of the effects of their racial discrimination and thereby kept Plaintiffs from being reasonable aware of the damage from the discrimination.
- Defendants' acts of discrimination and fraudulent concealment and misrepresentation of fraudulent in nature. Plaintiffs were not fully aware or may not yet be fully aware of all of the misrepresentations and all damages, so effective have been Defendants' misrepresentations and concealments.

COUNT XIV: VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) BY ALL DEFENDANTS

- Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that all Defendants herein have violated 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) by their acts of intentional racial discrimination, and by its vestiges, which continue to date.
- Defendants conspired with one another to deprive Plaintiffs of their rights to equal protection of the laws under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States. Constitution and the laws of the United States:
- Plaintiffs have been damaged and are being damaged by the racial segregation and discrimination and the failure of Defendants to eliminate the vestiges of such segregation and discrimination.
- Defendants' obligation to eliminate the vestiges of segregation and 87. discrimination and their failure to comply with those obligations are ongoing.
 - Plaintiffs were not reasonable aware of all damages resulting from the racial 88.

discrimination until less than one year prior to the filing of this action, and the claims therein did not accrue until less than one year before the filing of this action.

- Defendants fraudulently concealed and positively misrepresented many of the effects of their racial discrimination and thereby kept Plaintiffs from being reasonable aware of the damage from the discrimination.
- Defendants' acts of discrimination and fraudulent concealment and misrepresentation of fraudulent in nature. Plaintiffs were not fully aware or may not yet be fully aware of all of the misrepresentations and all damages, so effective have been Defendants' misrepresentations and concealments.

COUNT XV: VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. 8 2000d BY ALL DEFENDANTS

- Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that all Defendants herein have violated 42 U.S.C. § 2000d by their acts of intentional racial discrimination, and by its vestiges, which continue to date.
- At all times relevant to this action, private Defendants were recipients of federal assistance within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000d. Defendants' intentional acts of racial discrimination deprived Plaintiffs of rights secured to them by Section 2000d.
- Plaintiffs have been damaged and are being damaged by the racial segregation and discrimination and the failure of Defendants to eliminate the vestiges of such segregation and discrimination.
- Defendants' obligation to eliminate the vestiges of segregation and discrimination and their failure to comply with those obligations are ongoing.
 - 95. Plaintiffs were not reasonable aware of all damages resulting from the racial

discrimination until less than one year prior to the filing of this action, and the claims therein did not accrue until less than one year before the filing of this action.

- Defendants fraudulently concealed and positively misrepresented many of the effects of their racial discrimination and thereby kept Plaintiffs from being reasonable aware of the damage from the discrimination.
- Defendants' acts of discrimination and fraudulent concealment and misrepresentation of fraudulent in nature. Plaintiffs were not fully aware or may not yet be fully aware of all of the misrepresentations and all damages, so effective have been Defendants' misrepresentations and concealments.

COUNT XVI: VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. 8 3604 BY ALL DEFENDANTS

- Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that all Defendants herein have violated 42 U.S.C. § 3604 by their acts of intentional racial discrimination, and by its vestiges, which continue to date.
- Defendants' intentional acts deprived Plaintiffs of their rights to fair housing and all other benefits secured to them by Section 3604.
- 100. Plaintiffs have been damaged and are being damaged by the racial segregation and discrimination and the failure of Defendants to eliminate the vestiges of such segregation and discrimination.
- Defendants' obligation to eliminate the vestiges of segregation and discrimination and their failure to comply with those obligations are ongoing.
- Plaintiffs were not reasonable aware of all damages resulting from the racial discrimination until less than one year prior to the filing of this action, and the claims

therein did not accrue until less than one year before the filing of this action.

- 103. Defendants fraudulently concealed and positively misrepresented many of the effects of their racial discrimination and thereby kept Plaintiffs from being reasonable aware of the damage from the discrimination.
- 104. Defendants' acts of discrimination and fraudulent concealment and misrepresentation of fraudulent in nature. Plaintiffs were not fully aware or may not yet be fully aware of all of the misrepresentations and all damages, so effective have been Defendants' misrepresentations and concealments:

COUNT XVII: FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT

- 105. Pleading additionally and alternatively, without waiving any previous pleadings and incorporating same by reference herein, Plaintiffs allege and would show that Defendants have engaged in fraudulent concealment.
- 106. OSHA regulations, federal and Texas environmental statutes and regulations and Texas common law create a duty on the part of Defendants to report and warn employees of dangers associated with their work, e.g., potential exposure to hazardous wasters and toxic substances to its workers.
 - Defendants breached this duty to Plaintiffs in the following manner:
 - failure to warn the workers or their families of the hazards associated with their daily exposure to hazardous wastes and hazardous chemicals. Specifically, as to Plaintiffs, Defendants fraudulently concealed the Plaintiffs were in daily contact with hazardous wastes and chemicals to would or could adversely affect Plaintiffs' health, that special training was necessary to safely work around hazardous wastes and chemicals, and that, without

proper safety equipment, it was unsafe and dangerous to Plaintiffs' health to work around the hazardous wastes and chemicals.

- b. concealing from Plaintiffs the results of health monitoring of individual workers
- c. concealing from federal and state agencies that Defendants were not providing Plaintiffs a safe environmental in which to work because of exposure to hazardous chemicals and wastes and that it had no intention of doing so.
- d. Concealing from Plaintiffs that their clothes were contaminated at

 work and that bringing the clothes home could or would adversely

 affect the health of their families, friends and neighbors.
- 108. Failure of Defendants to disclose to Plaintiffs such information in direct violation of federal and state law constitutes a concealment of material facts that should have been made known to Plaintiffs. This concealment of and/or failure to report the material facts to Plaintiffs is fraudulent concealment.
- 109. Plaintiffs have been damaged and are being damaged by Defendants' fraudulent concealment.

VI. DAMAGES

- 110. Plaintiffs have incurred damages proximately or directly caused by the acts and omissions of Defendants set out heretofore, said damages that include, but are not necessarily limited to:
- * bodily injury in the past;
- * bodily injury in the future;

- physical pain and mental anguish in the past;
- * physical pain and mental anguish in the future;
- emotional distress in the past;
- emotional distress in the future;
- increased risk of disease and other ailments;
- * reasonable compensatory damages for the costs of medical testing, monitoring, treatment and prevention that will be required in the future as a proximate result of Defendants' pollution, including, but not limited to the costs of making appropriate specialized services and expert advice available to primary health care providers in the community;
- * ____payment of the costs of a reasonable adequate study of the extent of pollution caused by Defendants and to monitor and limit the extent of future pollution;
- * payment of the costs of a reasonable program to advise people affected by Defendants' pollution, of risks associated with such pollution and of measures available to reduce such risks;
- payment of the costs of such studies as may be required to adequately assess the health effects of past, present and future pollution on those who live, study and work in the affected community;
- * medical expense and services in the past;
- * medical expense and services in the future;
- * loss of wage earning capacity in the future;
- devaluation and/or loss of use and enjoyment of personal property;
- * devaluation and/or loss of use of personal real property;

- devaluation and/or loss of enjoyment of personal real property;
- loss of consortium;
- all such other damages as allowed by law and as may be shown at time of trial.

PUNITIVE OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES

Additionally, all Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages or exemplary damages as may be shown for the grossly negligent acts and omissions of the Defendants, as well as those acts of intentional infliction of emotional distress, malice, fraud, intentional conduct and fraudulent concealment undertaken by Defendants as plead herein and heretofore, amounting to a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

TIRY DEMAND

112. Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all accounts.

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiffs pray that Defendants be cited to appear and answer herein, and that upon final trial Plaintiffs have judgment and recover collectively and severally of and from all Defendants as follows:

- Plaintiffs' actual and compensatory damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court;
- Plaintiffs' costs of Court; **(2)**.
- exemplary or punitive damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this (3) Court as may be found just by the Court or jury;
- pre and post judgment interest on all such amounts at the maximum legal rate until (4) such amounts are paid to Plaintiffs; and,
- such other and further relief, both at law or in equity, to which Plaintiffs may show **(5)**, themselves justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

Grover G. Hankins Texas Bar No. 00795895

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

OF COUNSEL:

The Hankins Law Firm, PLLC 616 W. Main Street League City, Texas 77573 281-316-9551 281-316-9552 facsimile

Dwight E. Jefferson
Texas Bar No. 10605600
Twelve Greenway Plaza #1100
Houston, Texas 77046
713-993-0399
713-993-0669 facsimile

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was served upon all counsel of record this <u>230</u> day of December 2005 by certified mail, return receipt requested; regular mail; hand delivery and/or facsimile.

Lise T. Spacapan
Juanne Hannaway Sweeney
Fazal R. Khan
Kenner & Block, LLP
One IBM Plaza
Chicago, IL 60611

Phone: 312-222-9350 Fax: 312-527-0484

Frank L. Hill
Thompson & Knight, LLP
1900 San Jacinto Center
98 San Jacinto Blvd.
Austin, TX 78701
Phone: 512-6121

Attorneys for Defendant Dow Chemical Company

Susan E. Burnett Clark, Thomas & Winters P.O. Box 1148 Austin, TX 78767

Michael R. Klatt

Austin, TX 78767 Phone: 512-472-8800 Fax: 512-474-1129

Brent R. Austin
Paul K. Freeborn
Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon, LLP
225 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2800
Chicago, IL 60606
Phone: 312-201-2000

Phone: 312-201-2000 Fax: 312-201-2555

Fax: 312-201-2555

Leonard S. Kurfirst
Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon, LLP
225 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606
Phone: 312-201-2000

Attorneys for Defendant Koppers Industries, Inc.

David E. Cowen
Douglas W. Poole
McLeod, Alexander Powel & Apffel, P.C.
802 Rosenberg
P.O. Box 629
Galveston, TX 77553
Phone: 409-763-2481
Fax: 409-762-1155

Robert T. Stewart
Kelly, Harf & Hallman, P.C.
301 Congress, Suite 2000
Austin, TX 78701-4052
Phone: 512-495-6426
Fax: 512-495-6401
Attorneys for Defendant Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company

Stephen Wane Lemon Brown McCarroll, LLP 111 Congress Ave, Suite 1400 Austin, TX 78701 Phone: 512-479-1148 Fax: 512-479-1401

Edward Carstarphen
Ellis Carstarphen Dougherty & Goldenthal, PC
5847 San Felipe, Suite 1900
Houston, TX 77057

Phone: 713-647-6800 Fax: 713-647-6884

Charles Ron Hobbs, II
Kenneth R. Heineman
Jerry K. Ronecker
Husch & Eppenberger, LLc
190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600
S. Louis, MO 63150
Phone: 314-480-1500
Fax: 314-480-1505
Attorneys for Defendant Monsanto Company

Stephen C. Dillard Fulbright & Jaworski, L.L.P. 1301 McKinney, Suite 5100 Houston, Texas 77010 Phone: 713-651-5151 Fax: 713-651-5246

Attorneys for Defendant Vulcan Materials Company

1. Hankins

GROVER HANKINS

BRANDON T ADAMS LINDA C ADAMS

HENRY W ALDRIDGE JUSTIN L ALDRIDGE RUBY BURNS ALDRIDGE DONNA J ALLEN

MICHELLE MEJIAS ARGUELLO ALVITA C ARRINGTON DONNELL BARRETT

DONNELL BARRETT

SANDRA P BASS ASHLEY BATTS BILL BATTS

BRODERICK BATTS

CLARENCE EDWARD BATTS
JACKLYN BATTS
JASMINE BATTS
LASHANDA BATTS

LASHANDA BATTS

LATOMIA BATTS
NICOLE BATTS

RANDI BATTS

PORSHA BATTS
RANDI BATTS
SANDRA BATTS

ROBERT A BAXTER

ROBERT D BELL SR

DON BOYCE

CALVIN M BRADLEY

DEODRICK D BRADLEY

FLOYD W BRADLEY

SEDRICK M BRADLEY

RUTH E BRANCH LEON D BRINSTON

COLACRIHIA BROOK
BARBARA BROOKS
COLAIRTHIA BROOKS
CURTIS BROOKS
DAMION L BROOKS

COLAIRTHIA BROOKS
CURTIS BROOKS
DAMION L BROOKS
JAMES CHARLES BROOKS SR
RICHARD L BROOKS
WELEY BROOKS
LOIS M BROOKS

WILEY BROOKS LOIS M BROOKS-NIX

ANTHONY BROWN

KAYLOR L BROWN

VENESSA R BRYANT BRITTANY BURNETT

GALE MOORE BURNETT

JAMIE BURNETT

CLIFTON BURNS III

CLIFTON J BURNS JR

CLIFTON J BURNS SR

CLIFTON BURNS JR

DARLINE BURNS

DETRENIA BURNS

DONNYELLE BURNS

DOUGLAS RAY BURNS EDDIE MAE BURNS

GLORIA BURNS

HELEN MARIE BURNS

HENRY BURNS

JAMES C BURNS

JAMES ROY BURNS

JESSIE L BURNS

KEVIN D BURNS

LALONDA BURNS

LINDA K BURNS

MICKLE BURNS

MINNIE BURNS

PRECIOUS BURNS

TERRY LYNN BURNS

TERRY LYNN BURNS

TOMME LEE BURNS

TOMMIE LEE BURNS JR

MATTIE J CAREY

WILLIAM CAREY

WILLIAM LOUIS CAREY

BARBIE D CARTER

BOBBIE CARTER

LAURA A CLARK

TRACY COLE

CASSANDRA COLEMAN

DEBRA COLEMAN
DERRICK COLEMAN
DEVIN COLEMAN

DONNIE H COLEMAN

ROBIN L COLEMAN JR

NELLIE MAE COLVIN

JOHN E COOPER

JONNISHA COOPER

LAKENDRICK COOPER

MELVIN COPELAND

SANTOYA COPELAND

LOUISE CROMO

INA CROSS

ANQUINISHA CUMMINGS
BWANA CUMMINGS
DATAYA CUMMINGS
JUSTONIA CUMMINGS
MELVIN CUMMINGS
ZALWANDA CUMMINGS

ZALWANDA CUMMINGS

PAULINE ADAMS DARCUS

J L DARDEN ANNIE L DAVIS ECLISHA DAVIS INEZ DAVIS

JAMARIOUS DAVIS KATIE M DAVIS LEONARD E DAVIS

LEONARD E DAVIS
LEONARD E DAVIS
MABLE DAVIS
NORRIS DAVIS
OLA DAVIS
OLA DAVIS

ROBERT L'DAVIS SHALA DAVIS SHANETRA DAVIS

SHANETRA MICHELLE DAVIS

TERRY DAVIS

VALENCIA HAGAN DAVIS

VERSIE DAVIS

PETRA ISABEL DELEON
BRODNEY DEVAULT

CLARICE DEVAULT CLARICE DEVAULT

DRAIDERIUS DEVAULT

DERRICK DIGGS ROOSEVELT DILLARD

ROUSEVELT DILLARD
ELLA DORSEY
ROYCE DORSEY
RICHARD DURAN
LEE EDWARD ELDRIDGE
DANITA MICHELLE ELLIS
DARROLYNN R ELLIS
DARRYL ELLIS
DARRYL G'ELLIS

DARRYL G ELLIS DAVID ELLIS DEMETRIUS L ELLIS

DIANDREA L'ELLIS

ESTER ELLIS

LORETTA D ELLIS

ERNESTO ESPINOZA

DUWONN EVANS

KATHERINE FELTUS

ROBERT P FELTUS

ROBINISHA FELTUS

ROBINISHA FELTUS WILLIAM O FELTUS

ALLEN FISHER

BRANDON E FISHER

BRITTANY LEANN FISHER

JAMES ROY FISHER
JOEL FISHER
KILROY FISHER

LATANYA FISHER

LAURA FISHER

LEE R FISHER

PRINCESS E FISHER EVERLEAN FORD

KEVIN DEWAYNE FORD
LYVONNE S FRANK CAROLYN FLEMING FRANKLIN

EUGENER FRANKLIN HELEN FREEMAN

HELEN FREEMAN

IRENE F GALLOWAY

STEPHANIE GARFIELD

CATHEY E GARNER

REKEIDA A GARNER

BRYANT D GENTRY

DAISY L GENTRY

DAVID GENTRY FARRIS GENTRY

FARRIS GENTRY
FLORENCE GENTRY
HOWARD GENTRY JR

LILLIE M GENTRY
SHIRLEY L GENTRY
JASTAZZIA GOFFINET
MARY ANN GONZALES

PATRICIA ANN GONZALES

PATRICIA ANN GONZALES

SHELLY GONZALES

RICHARD GONZALEZ

SABRINA GORDON

CLARENCE GRAYS

CLARENCE GRAYS

RODESSA GRAYS

DORIS JEAN GREEN

JO ANN GREENE

BERNICE GUYTON

ALICIA HAGAN

BILLY HAGAN

DEONTE HAGAN

DEONTE HAGAN

KENYIDA HAGAN

KENYIDA HAGAN

SHARON RAY HAGAN

LASHARDE HALL

RHODA LEE HALL

EDDIE M HAMILTON

MAXINE B HAMILTON

MEDERRLE D HAMILTON

SHANTEL R HAMILTON

ALBERTA W HANKS

ERNEST HANKS

CHANDRA J HARRIS

ELIZABETH HARRIS

ELIZABETH RUTH HARRIS

EUGENIA Y HARRIS

EUGENIE Y HARRIS

JAMES E HARRIS

JAMES E HARRIS JR

JAMIALYN HARRIS

JOSEPH HARRIS JR

KORDERO J HARRIS

KRYSTAL HARRIS

LOIS M HARRIS

NATHAN E HARRIS

PATRICIA HARRIS

SHANNON MY'LISA HARRIS

TRAVIS HARRIS JR CALVIN LEE HARRISON JR

CALVIN LEE HARRISON SR RICHYE LEE HARRISON GOREE HAWKINS RICHARD HAWKINS RODNEY HAWKINS

STEVEN HAWKINS

BRENT HEATH MARGIE HEATH

SHARON HEATH

ALEXANDRIA HEMPHILL

ANISHA HEMPHILL

CRYSTAL S HEMPHILL

DEBORAH HEMPHILL

DEBORAH HEMPHILL

JALEN HEMPHILL

JOSHUA HEMPHILL

JUSTIN J HEMPHILL

KELTON HEMPHILL

RICA HEMPHILL

VICTORIA HEMPHILL

XAVION HEMPHILL

JAMES HENDERSON

BARBARA J HESTER

DOCK HESTER

JOHNNIE MAE HESTER

RONALD G HESTER

SYLVIA HICKMAN

CHARLIE MAE HICKS

EVELYN E HILL

CANTREAS LASHA HOGUES

DOMINIQUE Y HOGUES

JESSE L VASQUEZ HOGUES JR

JESSE LOYD HOGUES

JESSIE LOYD HOGUES SR

KARUSHA JAFRIKA YNESHA HOGUES

MARION ROBERSON HOGUES

DORA J HOLLIDAY

LILLY M HOLMES

OLLIE HOLMES JR

DEBBIE HORNSBY

VANIA HORNSBY

GARLON HUDSON

MARLON HUDSON RONALD HUDSON

BARBARA HAWKINS HUTSON

ANDRE ISOM

DONALD W ISOM

JOHN L ISOM

RONALD ISOM AUSTINA JACKS

ANDREA JACKSON

AUSTIN JACKSON AUSTINA JACKSON

BEVERLY ANN JACKSON

BRODRICK JACKSON

CHARLES JACKSON

CHESTER JACKSON

CHESTER JACKSON JR

CHRISTOPHER EARL JACKSON

DEANDREA JACKSON

DEONTE RAYSHAUN JACKSON

DIAMONTE DESHAUN JACKSON

DONNA DAVIS JACKSON

DOUGLAS D JACKSON

DOUGLASIA DERAY JACKSON

FREDRICK ONEAL JACKSON

JUNASIA DYNETTE JACKSON

KEISHA ANN JACKSON

KISHA JACKSON

MARILYN JACKSON

MESHELL ANNETTE JACKSON

MINNIE I JACKSON

MINNIE R JACKSON

MOSEVELT JACKSON SR

PATRICK ONEAL JACKSON

PEARL JACKSON

RAY GENE JACKSON

RICKEY GENE JACKSON

ROBERT JACKSON

RODRICK ONEAL JACKSON

T JACKSON

THEORDORE JACKSON

THOMAS JACKSON

WILLIAM JACKSON

COREY C JEFFERY

DONNELL DEVON JENKINS

CHEYENNE'S JOHNSON

CORISA JOHNSON

DONNA RUTH JOHNSON

ELLA MAE JOHNSON

HIRAM LEE JOHNSON

J C JOHNSON

JABARI JOHNSON

JAHLA JOHNSON

JAHLA JOHNSON JAMAR JOHNSON JENNIFER MARIE JOHNSON

JERMY JOHNSON

JESSICA JOHNSON

JESSIE LEE JOHNSON JR

JOHNELL JOHNSON

JUNE JOHNSON

LONNIE RAY JOHNSON

PAUL JOHNSON RENA MAE JOHNSON SHAWN MICAHEL JOHNSON TEVIN JAMAL JOHNSON TRESA A JOHNSON SHANGELIA MOORE LEWIS KENDALL ARTHUR D KENNERSON DOROTHY KENNERSON
RICKIE L KNOX DANITA C KYLES ELIJAH LAUDERDALE KENNETH WAYNE LAUDERDALE LINDA GIVENS LAUDERDALE MAURIETTE E LAUDERDALE BASEL L LISTER CLARA LISTER EARLENE LISTER LAQUITTA G LISTER SANDRA LEE LISTER VERSIE B LISTER ANNIE LEE LOCKETT MAXINE LOCKETT RAYMOND LOCKETT JR RAYMOND LOCKETT SR RUFUS LOCKETT RUTH E LOVING

LATREAS E MANAS TREAS L'MOORE MANAS TREAS MOORE MANAS WILLIAM J MANAS III WILLIAM J MANAS JR BRIAN K MANLEY HILARIO MARTINEZ JR MARCUS MARTINEZ NIKKIA J BURNS MATETE NIKKIA I BUKNO WATHIS CRAIG LEON MATHIS DOROTHY MATHIS
MARCUS T MATHIS
NICRAISHA LYON MATHIS NICRAISHA LI ON MATHIS WILLIE MATHIS JR CLAUDOLYN A MCCARTY URSALA N MCCARTY JESICA MCCLAIN BARBARA MCDONALD

JAMES MCDONALD

JOHONN MCGOWAN SR

KRISHNA MCGOWAN

BLOSSIE T MCGREGOR

RHAUN CHRISTOPHER MCGREGOR

ROSCOE MCGREGOR JR

ROSCOE MCGREGOR SR

MILTON E MCNEIL SR

PATRICIA ANN MCNEIL SHAWN MCNEIL

SHAWN MCNEIL

CHRISTOPHER MEJIAS

IRENE MEJIAS

MANUEL MEJIAS

MARCUS MEJIAS

MELISSA MEJIAS

JULIUS MILLER

CHELSEA MITCHELL

ANTHONY MOORE

CASIE J MOORE

CHAD MOORE

CHADRICK MOORE

CHARLIE JAMES MOORE CHRISTOPHER S MOORE

CORNOLA MOORE

DOUGLAS N MOORE

EDNA MOORE

ERICA M MOORE

EURALENE MOORE

GERRY B MOORE
JONATHAN D MOORE

JONATHAN DEWAYNE MOORE

JOYSTENE C MOORE

KEI AMBER MOORE

LATASHA MOORE

LESTER S MOORE

MINNIE LEE MOORE
MIRANDA MOORE

RAVEEN MOORE

RICHARD MOORE JR RICHARD MOORE SR

ROBERT A MOORE SR

ROBERT LEE MOORE

ROMAN MOORE

ROMAN B MOORE JR

ROMEO W MOORE

SHARLA MOORE

SHEILA MOORE

STEPHANIE E MOORE

WILLIE MAE MOORE

WILLIE MAE MOORE

JASMINE MOSES

JASMINE MOSES

MARY MOSES

MONICA MOSES

LISA ANN NICKERSON

MARCUS NICKERSON

MELONIE NICKERSON

BRENDA NICKS

BRENDA NICKS

BRIAN RACHAD NICKS

CANDACE NICKS

KENNETH P NIX

OLLIE H NIX

WALTER E NIX SR

LURISE PAGE

COURTNEY PARKER

MICHAEL PARKER

WINIFORD PARKER

BOBBY RAY PENNIE

GEORGE ALBERT PENNIE

MARGARET PENNIE

ENRIQUE PEREZ

JOSEPH PEREZ

OLIVER PHILLIPS

PAUL PHILLIPS

PAULA S PHILLIPS

PRENTISS GEORGE PHILLIPS

BEVERLY PLEASANT

LAKEISHA PLEASANT

PATRICE PLEASANT

PATRICK PLEASANT JR

PATRICK PLEASANT SR

HARLAN C PLUMBER

HARLEY PLUMBER

HERBCHELLE PLUMBER

HERBERT C PLUMBER IR

HERBERT PLUMBER JR

HILLARY PLUMBER

VICKIE PLUMBER

CHARLES POLITE

LABRAYLA POOL

KENNETH W PORTER

JA'QUENTIN POWELL

MELVIA H POWELL ORA LEE PRICE

ORA LEE PRICE

TARRANCE PRICE FRANK QUINIERO

LUTHER ONEAL RAGSTON SR
SHERRY RAGSTON
BESSIE M RATLIFF
HEATHER RATLIFF
JOSHUA RATLIFF
MICHELLE RATLIFF
TONY RATLIFF
TRACY RATLIFF

TONY RATLIFF
TRACY RATLIFF

TRACY RATLIFF
WRIGHT C RATLIFF
WRIGHT RATLIFF JR
ANTHONY RAY
DONNA RAY

ARAY
MORRIS RAY
NIKITA RAY
DOCK U REESE JR
EVERLENE RELIFORD
LACRESHIA RELIFORD
ROESHON RELIFORD
STANLEY B RELIFORD
ZEPHYR RELIFORD
DEBRA J RHODP

EUREKA S RHODES A D ROBERSON

ALFRED D ROBERSON

ALMA ROBERSON
MILDRED ROBERSON
RAY ROBERSON
ARLINDA ROBERTS

ARLINDA ROBERTS
GERALD WAYNE ROBERTS
KEVIN ROBERTS
KIASHA ROBERTS
OTIS ROBERTS JR
QUNEISHA ROBERTS
TANETTE L ROBERTS
OTIS ROBERTSS JR
EDWARD M ROGERS
NATHAN ROGERS
RHONDA ROGERS
LABORTE RUCKS

JABORIE RUCKS

NICOLE SHUNTAE RUSSELL

MARY B SALDIVAR
JOSHUA SAMS
DAISY MAE SCARLETT

MICHAEL KESHAWN SCARLETT

QURISHA SCARLETT
DEBORAH SCHICLE
RARTERRIUS SCHICLE RARTERRIUS SCHICLE ZOCCORRO SCHICLE RAJANAE SCYRES JAMES SIMPSON

JAMES SIMPSON
JAMES DAVIS SIMPSON
JOSEPHINE SINGLETON

JOSEPHINE SINGLETON
JAMES M SMITH
MICHEAL SMITH
MIKEL: SOWDERS
AUDRESHA SPATES

CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL SPATES
BESSIE M SPENCER
ESTELLA J SPENCER

GEORGIA SPENCER
HERBERT SPENCER
HERBERT SPENCER
ROSALYN V SPENCER

BARBARETT STEVENSON

SHANETRA STEVENSON

MICHELLE STIFFLEMIRE

PATRICIA ANN STIFFLEMIRE

DORIS J STRAIT

DORIS J STRALL
DERRICK K STRINGFELLOW
BRALA D SWAIN

ALTON A SWEENEY JR

ALTON A SWEENEY JR
ALTON SWEENEY JR
WALLACE TAYLOR
MICHAEL TEALER
COURTNEY D TELSEDE
RHANLLER THOMAS
VESTER THOMAS
CLAUDIS THURMAN SR
DOROTHY JEAN THURMAN
DOROTHY JEAN THURMON

DOROTHY THURMON

CARNELL TOWNSTAND

CARNELL TOWNSEND
CHARLES TOWNSEND
GLORIA TOWNSEND

S USIE TOWNSEND

JAMES TOWSEND

J D TURNER

JAMES E TURNER JATERIA TURNER

ONIÈ MAE TURNER

ONIE MAE TURNER

JOHNNIE P UNDERWOOD

RITA Y VASQUEZ
SYLVIA VEGA
DALIA VELA
JOHN M VELA
MIKE C VELA

MIKE C VELA
PAULA K WALKER
CYNTHIA WASHINGTON
DOROTHY WASHINGTON
WILLIAM H WASHINGTON
JAMES WASHISNGTON
ANTHONY V WATSON

LEONARD WATSON

MARY E WATSON

VIVIAN WATSON

BILLY GENE WILEY DONALD R WILEY HENRY WILEY

WILLIE WILEY

CLIDY MAE WILLIAMS

DAVID WILLIAMS

EDWARD J WILLIAMS

ETTA J. WILLIAMS

ETTA J. WILLIAMS
FAFFY RENAE WILLIAMS
FREDDIE WILLIAMS
FREDDIE J WILLIAMS
JOHNNIE B WILLIAMS
MARY WOODFORK WILLIAMS
RUBY J WILLIAMS
TERRANCE WILLIAMS

TERRANCE WILLIAMS

ANTHONY G WILSON

BARBARA A WILSON CARON WILSON

ERIC WILSON

FLORENCE GENTRY WILSON

LAKIETRA R WILSON MINNIE WILSON TYSON WILSON

DELOISE M WISE

MARTIN WISE SR

LELISHA WISEMAN STACIE WOODBERRY CLARA WOODFORD JONAS WOODFORK JAYCEE YOUNG TERRANCE YOUNG